

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/085,056	MARUYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634

All Participants:

(1) Juliet C. Switzer.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Thomas Cunningham.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11/4/2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *paper copies of Figures 3 and 4 were given to the examiner.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Cunningham provided the examiner with paper copies of figures 3 and 4 for the examiner's consideration of the results contained in the figures because the figures did not scan well into the electronic file.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)